

## Announcements

For 11.17

## Formal Proofs for Quantifiers

 $\forall$  Intro and  $\forall$  Elim

William Starr

11.17.11

- ① Check your grades on Bb!!
- ② Follow instructions from last announcement if you have unexpected 0s

## Outline

- ① Review
- ② Formal Rules for  $\forall$

## Summary of Informal Steps &amp; Methods

Overview

- For universal quantification, we've learned one informal inference step & two informal proof methods:
  - Universal Elimination
  - Universal Introduction
  - General Conditional Proof

## Summary of Informal Steps & Methods

### Universal Elimination (Official Version)

If you have  $\forall x S(x)$ , you may infer  $S(c)$ , provided that 'c' refers to an object in the domain of discourse

### Universal Introduction

To prove  $\forall x S(x)$ :

- 1 Introduce a **new** name  $c$  to stand for a completely **arbitrary** member of the domain of discourse
- 2 Prove  $S(c)$
- 3 Conclude  $\forall x S(x)$

## An Example

Using Universal Elimination & Introduction

$$\begin{array}{l|l} 1 & \forall x \text{Tet}(x) \\ 2 & \forall x (\text{Tet}(x) \vee \text{Small}(x)) \end{array}$$

**Proof:** We will use universal introduction to prove the conclusion. Let  $c$  name an arbitrary block. From 1  $\text{Tet}(c)$  follows by universal elimination. But if *that* is true, so is  $\text{Tet}(c) \vee \text{Small}(c)$  (by disjunction introduction). Since  $c$  was arbitrary, it follows that  $\forall x (\text{Tet}(x) \vee \text{Small}(x))$ .

## Summary of Informal Steps & Methods

General Conditional Proof

### General Conditional Proof

To prove  $\forall x (A(x) \rightarrow B(x))$ :

- 1 Introduce a **new** arbitrary name  $c$ , and assume  $A(c)$
- 2 Prove  $B(c)$
- 3 Conclude  $\forall x (A(x) \rightarrow B(x))$

- This is equivalent to using universal introduction *and* conditional proof together

## An Example

General Conditional Proof

$$\begin{array}{l|l} 1 & \text{Medium}(a) \\ 2 & \forall y (\text{Smaller}(a, y) \rightarrow \text{Large}(y)) \end{array}$$

**Proof:** We will use general conditional proof to show our conclusion. Let  $c$  stand for an arbitrary block and assume for the sake of argument that  $\text{Smaller}(a, c)$ . We will try to show that  $\text{Large}(c)$ . From 1 we know that  $a$  is medium. But our assumption tells us that  $a$  is smaller than  $c$ , so  $c$  must be large. This is exactly what we were out to show to finish our general conditional proof, so we are done.

## Formal Proofs

Getting Back Into the Swing of It

- Remember formal proofs?
  - Contains only wffs of FOL
  - Every non-premise or assumption line must cite a formal rule as its justification
- Example:

1	Tet(a) $\rightarrow$ Smaller(a, c)	
2	Tet(a)	
3	Smaller(a, c)	$\rightarrow$ <b>Elim:</b> 2, 1
4	Smaller(a, c) $\vee$ LeftOf(a, b)	$\vee$ <b>Intro:</b> 3
5	Tet(a) $\rightarrow$ (Smaller(a, c) $\vee$ LeftOf(a, b))	$\rightarrow$ <b>Intro:</b> 2-4

## Formal Proofs

Review

- Remember: every logical symbol gets two rules:
  - ① = **Elim**, = **Intro**
  - ②  $\neg$  **Elim**,  $\neg$  **Intro**
  - ③  $\perp$  **Elim**,  $\perp$  **Intro**
  - ④  $\wedge$  **Elim**,  $\wedge$  **Intro**
  - ⑤  $\vee$  **Elim**,  $\vee$  **Intro**
  - ⑥  $\rightarrow$  **Elim**,  $\rightarrow$  **Intro**
  - ⑦  $\leftrightarrow$  **Elim**,  $\leftrightarrow$  **Intro**
- Recall that each of these rules was the formal analog of an **informal** inference step or proof method
- The rules are justified by the **meaning** of each symbol
- Now, we'll learn the formal rules for  $\forall$ :  
 $\forall$  **Intro**,  $\forall$  **Elim**

 $\forall$  **Elim**

Universal Elimination

## Universal Elimination (Official Version, Informal Step)

If you have  $\forall x S(x)$ , you may infer  $S(c)$ , provided that 'c' refers to an object in the domain of discourse

 $\forall$  **Elim**

$\forall x S(x)$
$\vdots$
▷   $S(c)$

EXAMPLE:

1	$\forall x (\text{Tet}(x) \wedge \text{Small}(x))$	
2	$\text{Tet}(c) \wedge \text{Small}(c)$	$\forall$ <b>Elim:</b> 1

 $\forall$  **Intro**

A Preliminary Note

- Two methods of **informally proving** universal claims:
  - ① Universal Introduction
  - ② General Conditional Proof
- We will consider two versions of  $\forall$  **Intro** corresponding to each of these methods

# $\forall$ Intro

Version 1

# $\forall$ Intro, Version 1

An Example

## $\forall$ Intro Version 1 (Formal Method of Proof)

$$\begin{array}{l} \boxed{c} \\ \vdots \\ P(c) \\ \hline \forall x P(x) \end{array}$$

$c$  must not occur outside of the subproof where it is introduced

That is,  $c$  must be arbitrary

- Read the boxed ' $c$ ' as *let  $c$  be an arbitrary member of the domain of discourse*

$$\begin{array}{l} 1 \quad \forall x (\text{Tet}(x) \wedge \text{Small}(x)) \\ 2 \quad \boxed{c} \\ 3 \quad \text{Tet}(c) \wedge \text{Small}(c) \quad \forall \text{Elim: } 1 \\ 4 \quad \text{Tet}(c) \quad \wedge \text{Elim: } 3 \\ 5 \quad \forall x \text{Tet}(x) \quad \forall \text{Intro: } 2-4 \end{array}$$

# $\forall$ Intro, Version 1

Another Example

# $\forall$ Intro, Version 1

Using Fitch

$$\begin{array}{l} 1 \quad \forall x \text{Tet}(x) \\ 2 \quad \forall x (\text{Tet}(x) \vee \text{Small}(x)) \end{array}$$

**Proof:** We will use universal introduction to prove the conclusion. Let  $c$  name an arbitrary block. From 1  $\text{Tet}(c)$  follows by universal elimination. But if *that* is true, so is  $\text{Tet}(c) \vee \text{Small}(c)$  (by disjunction introduction). Since  $c$  was arbitrary, it follows that  $\forall x (\text{Tet}(x) \vee \text{Small}(x))$ .

$$\begin{array}{l} 1 \quad \forall x \text{Tet}(x) \\ 2 \quad \boxed{c} \\ 3 \quad \text{Tet}(c) \quad \forall \text{Elim: } 1 \\ 4 \quad \text{Tet}(c) \vee \text{Small}(c) \quad \vee \text{Intro: } 3 \\ 5 \quad \forall x (\text{Tet}(x) \vee \text{Small}(x)) \quad \forall \text{Intro: } 2-4 \end{array}$$

- Let's do **Exercise 13.2**
- But, we'll learn how to use Fitch to do it

**13.2**

$$\begin{array}{l} \forall x (\text{Cube}(x) \leftrightarrow \text{Small}(x)) \\ \forall x \text{Cube}(x) \\ \hline \forall x \text{Small}(x) \end{array}$$

$\forall$  Intro

## In Class Exercise

Give a *formal proof* that the following argument is valid

$$\begin{array}{l} \forall y \text{ Small}(y) \\ \forall x \text{ Cube}(x) \\ \hline \forall x (\text{Cube}(x) \wedge \text{Small}(x)) \end{array}$$

It may be helpful to first do an informal proof

**Hint:** Since you are trying to prove a universal claim, use the method of  $\forall$  **Intro**

 $\forall$  Intro

## The Rule, Version 2

## General Conditional Proof (Informal Method of Proof)

- 1 Introduce a **new** name  $c$  to stand for a completely **arbitrary** member of the domain of discourse and assume  $A(c)$
- 2 Prove  $B(c)$
- 3 Conclude  $\forall x (A(x) \rightarrow B(x))$

 $\forall$  Intro Version 2 (Formal Method of Proof)

$$\begin{array}{l} \boxed{c} A(c) \\ \vdots \\ B(c) \\ \triangleright \forall x (A(x) \rightarrow B(x)) \end{array}$$

$c$  must not occur outside of the subproof where it is introduced  
That is,  $c$  must be arbitrary

- Read the boxed ' $c$ ' as *let  $c$  be an arbitrary member of the domain of discourse*

 $\forall$  Intro

## General Conditional Proof

$$\begin{array}{l} 1 \quad \text{Medium}(a) \\ 2 \quad \forall y (\text{Smaller}(a, y) \rightarrow \text{Large}(y)) \end{array}$$

**Proof:** Let  $c$  be an arbitrary block & assume  $\text{Smaller}(a, c)$ . Since our premise says that  $a$  is medium, our assumption requires that  $\text{Large}(c)$ . Because  $c$  was arbitrary, the conclusion follows by General Conditional Proof.

$$\begin{array}{l} 1 \quad \text{Medium}(a) \\ 2 \quad \boxed{c} \text{Smaller}(a, c) \\ 3 \quad \text{Large}(c) \quad \text{Ana Con: 1,2} \\ 4 \quad \forall y (\text{Smaller}(a, y) \rightarrow \text{Large}(y)) \quad \forall \text{Intro: 2-3} \end{array}$$

 $\forall$  Intro

## An Example

$$\begin{array}{l} 1 \quad \forall x [\text{Tet}(x) \rightarrow \neg \text{Cube}(x)] \\ 2 \quad \forall x [\neg \text{Cube}(x) \rightarrow \text{Small}(x)] \\ 3 \quad \boxed{c} \text{Tet}(c) \\ 4 \quad \text{Tet}(c) \rightarrow \neg \text{Cube}(c) \quad \forall \text{Elim: 1} \\ 5 \quad \neg \text{Cube}(c) \quad \rightarrow \text{Elim: 3,4} \\ 6 \quad \neg \text{Cube}(c) \rightarrow \text{Small}(c) \quad \forall \text{Elim: 2} \\ 7 \quad \text{Small}(c) \quad \rightarrow \text{Elim: 5,6} \\ 8 \quad \forall x [\text{Tet}(x) \rightarrow \text{Small}(x)] \quad \forall \text{Intro: 3-7} \end{array}$$

**Hint:** working backwards is very helpful w/ $\forall$  **Intro**

# Another Example

In Fitch

Time permitting, in Fitch:

- 1 Exercise 13.7

# Summary

For 11.17

## Summary

- 1 We learned how to use  $\forall$  **Elim** and  $\forall$  **Intro**
  - $\forall$  **Intro** came in two versions corresponding to our two informal proof methods
  - Both versions used the notation of boxing constants where our informal proofs used ‘arbitrary names’
- 2 When using  $\forall$  **Intro** it is very helpful to work backwards